Lie and conditional symmetries of a class of nonlinear (1+2)-dimensional boundary value problems

Roman Cherniha [ab] **and John R King** [a]

[a] *School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Nottingham, University Park, Nottingham, NG7 2RD, UK*

[b] *Institute of Mathematics, Ukrainian National Academy of Sciences, 3 Tereshchenkivs'ka Street, 01601 Kyiv, Ukraine*

E-mail: cherniha@gmail.com; John.King@nottingham.ac.uk

arXiv:1412.6967v1 [math-ph] 22 Dec 2014

Abstract

A new definition of conditional invariance for boundary value problems involving a wide range of boundary conditions (including initial value problems as a special case) is proposed. It is shown that other definitions worked out in order to find Lie symmetries of boundary value problems with standard boundary conditions, follow as particular cases from our definition. Simple examples of direct applicability to the nonlinear problems arising in applications are demonstrated. Moreover, the successful application of the definition for the Lie and conditional symmetry classification of a class of (1+2)-dimensional nonlinear boundary value problems governed by the nonlinear diffusion equation in a semi-infinite domain is realised. In particular, it is proved that there is a special exponent, $k = -2$, for the power diffusivity u^k when the problem in question with non-vanishing flux on the boundary admits additional Lie symmetry operators compared to the case $k \neq -2$. In order to demonstrate the applicability of the symmetries derived, they are used for reducing the nonlinear problems with power diffusivity u^k and a constant non-zero flux on the boundary (such problems are common in applications and describing a wide range of phenomena) to (1+1)-dimensional problems. The structure and properties of the problems obtained are briefly analysed. Finally, some results demonstrating how Lie invariance of the boundary value problem in question depends on geometry of the domain are presented.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35K5, 22E70, 80A20.

Keywords: Lie symmetry, Q-conditional symmetry, nonlinear boundary-value problem, nonlinear diffusion, exact solution

1 Introduction

Nowadays the Lie symmetry method is widely applied to study partial differential equations (including multi-component systems of multidimensional PDEs), notably for their reductions to ordinary differential equations (ODEs) and for constructing exact solutions. There are a huge number of papers and many excellent books (see, e.g., [4, 6, 20, 29, 30] and papers cited therein) devoted to such applications. During recent decades, other symmetry methods, which are based on the classical Lie method, were derived. The Bluman-Cole method of non-classical symmetry (another widely used terminology is Q-conditional symmetry, proposed in [20]) is perhaps the best known among them and the recent book [5] summarizes results obtained by means of this approach for scalar PDEs (see also the recent papers [7, 9] for some results and references in the case of nonlinear PDE systems).

However, a PDE cannot model any real process without additional condition(s) on the unknown function(s), a boundary value problem (BVP) based on the given PDE being needed

to describe real processes arising in nature or society. One may note that symmetry-based methods have not been widely used for solving BVPs (we include initial value problems within this terminology defining the initial condition as a particular case of a boundary condition). The obvious reason follows from the following observation: the relevant boundary and initial conditions are usually not invariant under any transformations, i.e. they do not admit any symmetry of the governing PDE. Nevertheless, there are some classes of BVPs that can be solved by means of the Lie symmetry based algorithm. This algorithm uses the notion of Lie invariance of BVP in question. Probably the first rigorous definition of Lie invariance for BVPs was formulated by G.W. Bluman in the 1970s [3] (the definition and several examples are summarized in the book [4]). This definition was used (explicitly or implicitly) in several papers to derive exact solutions of some BVPs. It should be noted that Ibragimov's definition of BVP invariance [26] (see also his recent paper [27]), which was formulated independently, is equivalent to Bluman's. On the other hand, one notes that Bluman's definition does not suit all types of boundary conditions. Notably, the definition does not work in the case of boundary conditions involving points at infinity. In recent papers [12–14], a new definition of Lie invariance of BVPs with a wide range of boundary conditions (including those involving points at infinity and moving surfaces) was formulated. Moreover, an algorithm for the group classification for the given class of BVPs was worked out and applied to a class of nonlinear two-dimensional and multidimensional BVPs of Stefan type with the aim of showing their efficiency.

However, there are many realistic BVPs that cannot be solved using any definition of Lie invariance of BVP, for instance because the relevant governing equations do not admit any Lie symmetry (or possess a trivial one only). Hence, definitions involving more general types of symmetries should be worked out. Having this in mind, in this paper we consider a class of (1+2)-dimensional nonlinear boundary value problems (BVPs) modelling heat transfer (for example) in the semi-infinite domain $\Omega = \{(x_1, x_2) : -\infty < x_1 < +\infty,\, x_2 > 0\}$:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \nabla.\left(d(u)\nabla u\right), \quad (x_1, x_2) \in \Omega,\, t \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{1}$$

$$x_2 = 0 : d(u)\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_2} = q(t), \tag{2}$$

$$x_2 \to +\infty : \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_2} = 0, \tag{3}$$

where $u(t, x_1, x_2)$ is an unknown function describing a temperature field (say), $d(u)$ is the positive coefficient of thermal conductivity, $q(t)$ is a specified function describing the heat flux of energy absorbed at (or radiating from) the surface $x_2 = 0$, zero flux is prescribed at infinity (actually, one should use the condition $d(u)\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_2} = 0$ but we assume that two are equivalent provided $d(u) \neq 0$ when $x_2 \to +\infty$; a discussion of the dependence of the admissibility of such boundary conditions at infinity on $d(u)$ is a delicate one that lies outside the scope of the current work, however some results are presented in the end of Sections 3 and 4) and the standard notation $\nabla = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\right)$ is used. Hereafter we assume that $d(u) \neq$ constant (otherwise the problem is linear and can be solved by the well-known classical methods) and all the functions arising in problem (1)–(3) are sufficiently smooth. It should be noted that we do not prescribe any initial condition assuming that the initial profile can be an arbitrary smooth function that can be specified to respect a symmetry of BVP (1)–(3) in question.

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2, a theoretical background is developed and relevant examples are presented. In Section 3, the Lie symmetry classification of BVPs of the

form (1)–(3) is derived and the main result is presented in Theorem 2. In Section 4, all possible reductions of BVP (1)–(3) with the power-law thermal conductivity u^k and a non-zero constant flux $q(t) = q_0$ that admit reductions to (1+1)-dimensional BVPs are constructed. In Section 5, the conditional symmetry classification of the BVPs class (1)–(3) is derived and the relevant reductions are presented. In Section 6, some results demonstrating how Lie invariance of BVP in question depends on geometry of the domain are presented. Finally, we discuss the result obtained and present some conclusions in the last section.

2 Theoretical background: definitions and examples

Here we restrict ourselves to the case when the basic equation of BVP is a multidimensional evolution PDE of kth-order in space ($k \geq 2$), i.e. our considerations here go well beyond the specific equation (1). Thus, the relevant BVP may be formulated as follows:

$$u_t = F\left(t, x, u, u_x, \ldots, u_x^{(k)}\right), \ x \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n, \ t > 0 \tag{4}$$

$$s_a(t,x) = 0: \ B_a\left(t, x, u, u_x, \ldots, u_x^{(k_a)}\right) = 0, \ a = 1, 2, \ldots, p, \ k_a < k \tag{5}$$

where F and B_a are smooth functions in the corresponding domains, Ω is a domain with smooth boundaries and $s_a(t,x)$ are smooth curves. Hereafter the subscripts t and $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, denote differentiation with respect to these variables and $u_x^{(j)}$, $j = 1, \ldots, k$ denotes a totality of partial derivatives of the order j with respect to the space variables, for example $u_x^{(k)} = (u_{x_1 \ldots x_1}, \ldots, u_{x_{j_1}, \ldots, x_{j_n}}, \ldots, u_{x_n \ldots x_n})$, where $u_{x_{j_1}, \ldots, x_{j_n}} = \frac{\partial^k u}{\partial x_{j_1} \ldots \partial x_{j_n}}$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots, k$; $j_1 + \ldots + j_n = k$. We assume that BVP (4)–(5) has a classical solution (in a usual sense).

Consider the infinitesimal generator

$$X = \xi^0(t,x)\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \xi^i(t,x)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + \eta(t,x,u)\frac{\partial}{\partial u}. \tag{6}$$

Hereafter ξ^0, ξ^i and η are known smooth functions and summation is assumed from 1 to n over repeated index i in operators. Assuming that this operator defines a Lie symmetry acting both on the (t,x,u)–space and on its projection to (t,x)–space, consider the operator

$$\underset{k}{X} = \underset{k-1}{X} + \sigma_1^k \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{x_1 \ldots x_1}} + \sigma_2^k \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{x_1 \ldots x_1 x_2}} + \ldots + \sigma_{k_n}^k \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{x_n \ldots x_n}}, \quad k \geq 2 \tag{7}$$

corresponding to the kth prolongation of X, whose coefficients are calculated via the functions $\xi^0, \ldots, \xi^1, \eta$ and their derivatives by the well-known prolongation formulae [29,30] starting from the first prolongation of X:

$$\underset{1}{X} = X + \sigma_0^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial u_t} + \sigma_1^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{x_1}} + \ldots + \sigma_n^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{x_n}}.$$

In formula (7), k_n is the total number of different k-order derivatives of the function u w.r.t. the space variables (there is no need to take into account k-order derivatives involving the time variable because (4) contains the first-order time derivative only).

Definition 1. **[4]** The Lie symmetry X (6) is admitted by the boundary value problem (4)–(5) if

(a) $\underset{k}{X}\left(F\left(x, u, u_x, \ldots, u_x^{(k)}\right) - u_t\right) = 0$ when u satisfies (4);

(b) $X(s_a(t,x)) = 0$ when $s_a(t,x) = 0,\ a = 1,\dots,p$;

(c) $\underset{k_a}{X}\left(B_a\left(t,x,u,u_x,\dots,u_x^{(k_a)}\right)\right) = 0$ when $s_a(t,x) = 0$ and $B_a|_{s_a(t,x)=0} = 0,\ a = 1,\dots,p$.

Because BVP (4)–(5) involves only the standard boundary conditions, Definition 1 cannot be applied to BVP (1)–(3), which involves boundary conditions defined at infinity. Moreover, Definition 1 cannot be generalised in a straightforward way to the boundary condition (3) (see an example in [13]). This issue was pointed out in [23], where it was suggested that an appropriate substitution be made to transform the unbounded domain to a bounded one. This idea was formalised in [12,13], where it was shown how this definition can be extended to classes of BVPs with more complicated boundary and initial conditions. Here we go essentially further, namely we extend notion of BVP invariance to the case of operators of conditional symmetry; we describe what kind of transformations can be applied to transform boundary conditions at infinity to those containing no conditions at infinity; and we show that the domain geometry plays an important role in multidimensional ($n > 1$) case.

Consider a BVP for the evolution equation (4) involving conditions (5) and boundary conditions at infinity:

$$\gamma_c(t,x) = \infty:\ \Gamma_c\left(t,x,u,u_x,\dots,u_x^{(k_c)}\right) = 0,\ c = 1,2,\dots,p_\infty. \tag{8}$$

Here $k_c < k$ and p_∞ are given numbers, the $\gamma_c(t,x)$ are specified functions by which the domain (t,x) on which BVP in question is defined extends to infinity in some directions. We assume that all the functions arising in (4)–(5) and (8) and the number of boundary and initial conditions are such that a classical solution still exists for this BVP.

Let us assume that the operator

$$Q = \xi^0(t,x,u)\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \xi^i(t,x,u)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + \eta(t,x,u)\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \tag{9}$$

is a Q-conditional symmetry of PDE (4), i.e. the following criterion is satisfied (see, e.g., [4])

$$\underset{k}{Q}\left(u_t - F\left(t,x,u,u_x,\dots,u_x^{(k)}\right)\right)\Big|_M = 0, \tag{10}$$

where $\underset{k}{Q}$ is the kth prolongation of Q and the manifold $M = \{u_t - F\left(t,x,u,u_x,\dots,u_x^{(k)}\right) = 0,\ Q(u) = 0\}$ with $Q(u) \equiv \xi^0(t,x,u)u_t + \xi^i(t,x,u)u_{x_i} - \eta(t,x,u)$.

Remark 1 *Rigorously speaking, one needs to reduce the manifold M by adding the differential consequences of equation $Q(u) = 0$ up to order k, which leads to huge technical problems in the application of the criterion obtained. However, in the case of evolution equations the resulting symmetries will be still the same provided $\xi^0(t,x,u) \neq 0$ in Q because each such differential consequence contains one or more mixed derivative of the function u w.r.t. the variables t and x, while the evolution equation in question does not involve any such mixed derivatives.*

Let us consider for each $c = 1,2,\dots,p_\infty$ the manifold

$$\mathsf{M} = \{\gamma_c(t,x) = \infty,\ \Gamma_c\left(t,x,u,u_x,\dots,u_x^{(k_c)}\right) = 0\} \tag{11}$$

in the extended space of variables $t,x,u,u_x,\dots,u_x^{(k_c)}$ (obviously, the space dimensionality will depend on k_c and, e.g. one obtains $n+2$ dimensional space (t,x,u) in the case of Dirichlet

boundary conditions). We assume that there exists a smooth bijective transformation of the form

$$\tau = f(t,x), \quad y = g(t,x), \quad w = h(t,x,u), \tag{12}$$

where $y = (y_1, \ldots, y_n)$, $f(t,x)$ and $h(t,x,u)$ are smooth functions and $g(t,x)$ is a smooth vector function, that maps the manifold M into

$$\mathsf{M}^* = \{\gamma_c^*(t,x) = 0,\ \Gamma_c^*\left(\tau, y, u, u_y, \ldots, u_y^{(k_c^*)}\right) = 0\} \tag{13}$$

of the same dimensionality in the extended space $\tau, y, w, w_y, \ldots, w_y^{(k_c^*)}$ (here $k_c^* \le k_c$).

Definition 2. BVP (4)–(5) and (8) is Q-conditionally invariant under operator (9) if:

(a) the criterion (10) is satisfied;

(b) $Q(s_a(t,x)) = 0$ when $s_a(t,x) = 0, \quad B_a|_{s_a(t,x)=0} = 0, \quad a = 1, \ldots, p$;

(c) $\underset{k_a}{Q}\left(B_a\left(t, x, u, u_x, \ldots, u_x^{(k_a)}\right)\right) = 0$ when $s_a(t,x) = 0$ and $B_a|_{s_a(t,x)=0} = 0, \quad a = 1, \ldots, p$;

(d) there exists a smooth bijective transform (12) mapping M into M^* of the same dimensionality;

(e) $Q^*(\gamma_c^*(\tau,y)) = 0$ when $\gamma_c^*(\tau,y) = 0,\ c = 1, 2, \ldots, p_\infty$;

(f) $\underset{k_c^*}{Q^*}\left(\Gamma_c^*\left(\tau, y, u, u_y, \ldots, u_y^{(k_c^*)}\right)\right) = 0$ when $\gamma_c^*(\tau,y) = 0$ and $\Gamma_c^*|_{\gamma_c^*(\tau,y)=0} = 0, \quad c = 1, \ldots, r$,

where Γ_c^* and $\gamma_c^*(\tau,y)$ are the functions Γ_c and $\frac{1}{\gamma_c(t,x)}$, respectively, expressed via the new variables. Moreover, the operator Q^*, i.e (9) in the new variables, is defined almost everywhere (i.e. except at a finite number of points) on M^*.

Remark 2 *Because any Q-conditional symmetry operator can be multiplied by an arbitrary function, say $s_a(t,x)$, Definition 2 implies that the operator Q does not vanish provided $s_a(t,x) = 0$. Rigorously speaking, this restriction is valid also for Definition 1.*

This definition coincides with Definition 1 if Q is a Lie symmetry operator and there are no boundary conditions at infinity (i.e. of the form (8)). In the case of BVPs involving boundary conditions at infinity, Definition 2 essentially generalises the definitions of Lie and conditional symmetry proposed in [13] and [8], respectively. In fact, those definitions are valid only for two-dimensional BVPs with essentially restricted forms of boundary conditions at infinity (for example, they work for the Dirichlet conditions but cannot be applied for the Neumann conditions as shown in Example 2 below) because they were created using the above mentioned substitution from [23], which is a very particular case of (12) with $n = 1$, $\tau = t$, $y = \frac{1}{x}$, $w = u$.

Now we demonstrate how this definition works using simple examples. Because each Q-conditional symmetry is automatically a Lie symmetry we start from an example involving the Lie symmetry only and continue with a second example involving pure conditional invariance.

Example 1. Consider the nonlinear BVP modelling heat transfer in semi-infinite solid rod, assuming that thermal diffusivity depends on temperature and that the rod is insulated at the

left endpoint. Hereafter we neglect the initial distribution of the temperature in the rod. Thus the nonlinear BVP reads as

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(d(u)\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right),\ t>0,\ 0<x<+\infty, \tag{14}$$

$$x = 0 : d(u)\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = 0,\ t>0, \tag{15}$$

$$x = +\infty : u = u_\infty,\ t>0, \tag{16}$$

where $u(t,x)$ is an unknown temperature field, $d(u)$ is a thermal diffusivity coefficient and u_∞ is a given temperature at infinity.

The maximal algebra of invariance (MAI) of the governing equation (14) is well-known and is spanned by the basic operators $\langle \partial_t, \partial_x, 2t\partial_t + x\partial_x\rangle$ provided $d(u)$ is an arbitrary function. Obviously BVP (14)– (16) is invariant w.r.t. the operator ∂_t because the boundary conditions do not involve the time variable, while the first one affects the operator ∂_x (see item (b) of Definition 2). Hence we need to examine the third operator. Items (b)-(c) of Definition 2 are fulfilled in the case of the first boundary condition, while one needs to find an appropriate bijective transform of the form (12) to check items (d)-(f).

Let us consider the obvious change of variables

$$\tau = t, \quad y = \frac{1}{x}, \quad w = u, \tag{17}$$

which maps $\mathsf{M} = \{x = \infty,\ u = u_\infty\}$ into $\mathsf{M}^* = \{y = 0,\ w = u_\infty\}$; both manifolds have the same dimensionality $\mathsf{D} = 1$ because they are lines in the three dimensional space of variables, i.e., item (d) is fulfilled. Transform (17) maps the operator in question to the form $2\tau\partial_\tau - y\partial_y$ and now one easily checks that this operator satisfies items (e)-(f) of Definition 2 on M^*. Thus, BVP (14)– (16) is invariant under the two-dimensional MAI $\langle \partial_t, 2t\partial_t + x\partial_x, \rangle$ provided $d(u)$ is an arbitrary function. Note that similarity reduction associated with the second operator of this algebra is the well-known Boltzmann one (and could of course have been identified without use of the definition developed here).

Example 2. Consider the reaction-diffusion-convection equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(u^m u_x\right) + \lambda_1 u^m u_x + \lambda_2 u^{-m}, \tag{18}$$

where $\lambda_k,\ k = 1,2$ and $m \neq -1, 0$ are arbitrary constants, while $u_x = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}$. Let us formulate a BVP with the governing equation (18) in the domain $\Omega = \{(t,x) : t > 0,\ x \in (0,+\infty)\}$ using the Neumann boundary conditions

$$x = 0 :\ u_x = \varphi(t), \tag{19}$$

and

$$x \to +\infty :\ u_x = 0, \tag{20}$$

where $\varphi(t)$ is the specified smooth function. So, (18)– (20) is a nonlinear BVP, which is the standard object for investigation. In [15], it was proved that (18) admits the Q-conditional symmetry

$$Q = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \lambda_2 u^{-m}\frac{\partial}{\partial u}, \tag{21}$$

which is not equivalent to a Lie symmetry provided $\lambda_2 \neq 0$.

Now we apply Definition 2 to BVP (18)– (20) in order to obtain correctly specified constraints when this problem is conditionally invariant under operator (21). Obviously, the first item is fulfilled by the correct choice of the operator. Item (b) is satisfied automatically because of the operator structure. A non-trivial result is obtained by application of item (c) to the boundary condition (19). In fact, calculating the first prolongation (i.e. $k_a = 1$) of operator (21)

$$\underset{1}{Q} = Q - m\lambda_2 u^{-m-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial u_t} - m\lambda_2 u^{-m-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial u_x} \tag{22}$$

and acting on (19), one obtains the first-order ODE

$$x = 0: \ \dot{\varphi}(t) + m\lambda_2\varphi(t)u^{-m-1} = 0, \tag{23}$$

to find the function $\varphi(t)$. Because BVP in question involves the condition at infinity (20), we also need to examine items (d)– (f). Let us consider the following change of variables (substitution (17) does not work in the case of zero Neumann conditions)

$$\tau = t, \quad y = \frac{1}{x}, \quad w = \frac{u}{x}, \tag{24}$$

which maps $\mathsf{M} = \{x = +\infty,\, u_x = 0\}$ into $\mathsf{M}^* = \{y = 0,\, w = 0\}$. Since both manifolds have the same dimensionality $\mathsf{D} = 1$ item (d) is fulfilled. Transform (24) maps the operator in question to the form

$$Q^* = \ \frac{\partial}{\partial\tau} + \lambda_2 y^{1+m} w^{-m}\frac{\partial}{\partial w}, \tag{25}$$

and now one easily checks that this operator satisfies items (e)-(f) of Definition 2 on M^* provided $m \in (-1, 0)$. In the case $m \notin [-1, 0]$, one needs the additional constrain $y^{1+m}w^{-m} \to 0$ as $(y, w) \to (0, 0)$ in order to satisfy item (f) in Definition 2 (this case is not examined here but it can be done in a similar way).

Thus, we have shown that BVP (18)– (20) is Q-conditionally invariant under operator (21) if and only if condition (23) and constraint $m \in (-1, 0)$ hold.

One may note that condition (23) corresponds to a Dirichet condition and, generally speaking, will not be compatible with the Neumann conditions (19). Happily (but not coincidentally), there is no contradiction in this case. In fact, operator (21) generates the ansatz

$$u^{1+m} = \ f(x) + \lambda_2(m+1)t,$$

where $f(x)$ is an unknown function. Substituting this ansatz into the governing equation (18) and solving the ordinary differential equation obtained, one finds that $f(x) = C_0 + C_1 e^{-\lambda_1 x}$ (C_0 and C_1 are arbitrary constants), hence the exact solution

$$u = \ \left(C_0 + C_1 e^{-\lambda_1 x} + \lambda_2(m+1)t\right)^{\frac{1}{1+m}}, \tag{26}$$

of the nonlinear equation (18) is constructed. Now we need to specify the function $\varphi(t)$ using (19), therefore $\varphi(t) = -\frac{\lambda_1 C_1}{1+m}\left(C_0 + C_1 + \lambda_2(m+1)t\right)^{-\frac{m}{1+m}}$ is obtained by simple calculations. The last step is to check the additional condition (23), which is fulfilled identically by the function $\varphi(t)$ obtained.

Note that there is a case when the constraint (23) does not produce any boundary condition, namely $\varphi(t) = 0$, i.e. the problem with the zero Neumann conditions (zero flux) on the boundary $x = 0$ and at infinity $x = +\infty$ is invariant under the Q-conditional symmetry (21) provided $m \in (-1, 0)$.

3 Lie symmetry classification of the BVPs class (1)–(3)

Since the BVP class (1)–(3) contains two arbitrary functions, $d(u)$ and $q(t)$, the problem of Lie group classification arises, i.e., to describe all possible Lie (or indeed conditional) symmetries that can be admitted by BVPs from this class depending on the pair (d, q). The problem of group classification for classes partial differential equations (PDEs) was formulated by Ovsiannikov using notions of the equivalence group E_{eq} and the principal (kernel) group of invariance [30]. The relevant algorithm for solving this problem, the so called Lie–Ovsiannikov algorithm, is well-known (see [30] for details). During the last decades this problem was further studied and more efficient algorithms were worked out (see, e.g., [10], [11], [31], [16] and references cited therein). It is widely accepted that the problem of group classification is completely solved for the given PDE class if it has been proved that

i) the Lie symmetry algebras are the maximal algebras of invariance of the relevant PDEs from the list obtained;

ii) all PDEs from the list are inequivalent with respect to a set of transformations, which are explicitly (or implicitly) presented and, generally speaking, may not form any group;

iii) any other PDE from the class that admits a non-trivial Lie symmetry algebra is reduced by transformations from the set to one of those from the list.

In [12, 14] an algorithm for solving the group classification problem for BVP classes was proposed. The algorithm, which is based on the concept of equivalence group of a class of BVPs, has its origins in the Lie–Ovsiannikov algorithm. The main steps of the algorithm in the case of the BVP class (1)–(3) can be formulated as follows:

(I) to construct the equivalence group E_{eq} of local transformations that transform the governing equation (1) into itself;

(II) to find the equivalence group E_{eq}^{BVP} of local transformations that transform the class of BVPs (1)–(3) into itself: to do this, one extends the space of the group E_{eq} action on the prolonged space, where the function q arising in the boundary condition is treated as a new variable;

(III) to perform the group classification of equation (1) up to local transformations generated by the group E_{eq}^{BVP};

(IV) using Definition 2, to find the principal algebra of invariance of the BVP class (1)–(3), i.e. the algebra admitted by each BVP from this class;

(V) using Definition 2 and the results obtained in steps (III)–(IV), to describe all possible E_{eq}^{BVP}-inequivalent BVPs of the form (1)–(3) admitting MAIs of higher dimensionality (depending on the pair (d, q)) than the principal algebra.

The algorithm can also be applied when one is looking for Q-conditional symmetries because such symmetries cannot generate any new group of transformations, hence, classification can be still carried out modulo the group E_{eq}.

Now we carry out the group classification of BVPs of the form (1)–(3) using the definition and the algorithm presented above.

As the first step we find the equivalence group $E_{\rm eq}$ of the class of PDEs (1) by direct calculations and obtain the following result.

Lemma 1 *The equivalence group $E_{\rm eq}$ of the PDEs class (1) is formed by the transformations*

$$\tilde t = \alpha t + \gamma_0, \quad \tilde x = \beta R(\theta) x + \gamma, \quad \tilde u = \delta u + \gamma_u, \quad \tilde d = \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha}\, d,$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma_u, \gamma_i\,(i = 0, 1, 2), \delta, \theta$ are arbitrary real constants obeying the conditions $\alpha\beta\delta \neq 0$ and $\theta \in [-\pi, \pi)$; $R(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & \sin\theta \\ -\sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{pmatrix}$ is the rotation matrix, the vectors $\tilde x = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{x_1} \\ \tilde{x_2} \end{pmatrix}$, $x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_1 \\ \gamma_2 \end{pmatrix}$.

Note that this equivalence group can be easily extracted from paper [17], where Lie symmetries of the class of reaction-diffusion equations of the form

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \nabla.\,(d(u)\nabla u) + Q(u), \tag{27}$$

were completely described.

In the second step, we substitute the transformations from the group $E_{\rm eq}$ into (1)–(3) and require that those transformations preserve the structure of the class: hence we find the set $E_{\rm eq}^{\rm BVP}$ of equivalence transformations that are essentially different, using the result of Lemma 1.

Lemma 2 *The equivalence group $E_{\rm eq}^{\rm BVP}$ of the class of BVPs (1)–(3) is formed by the transformations*

$$\tilde t = \alpha t + \gamma_0, \quad \tilde x_1 = \beta x_1 + \gamma_1, \quad \tilde x_2 = \beta x_2, \quad \tilde u = \delta u + \gamma_u,$$
$$\tilde d = \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha}\, d, \quad \tilde q = \frac{\beta\delta}{\alpha}\, q,$$

where $\alpha > 0, \gamma_u, \gamma_i\,(i = 0, 1), \delta$ and $\beta > 0$ are arbitrary real constants obeying only the non-degeneracy condition $\delta \neq 0$.

In the third step, we have used the known results [17] (it is interesting to note that Lie symmetries of the nonlinear equation (1) seem to have been described for the first time in paper [28], incidentally not cited so often as [17] published 13 years later) for solving the relevant group classification problem in the case of the equivalence group $E_{\rm eq}^{\rm BVP}$ and have proved the following statement.

Theorem 1 *All possible MAIs (up to the equivalent transformations from the group $E_{\rm eq}^{\rm BVP}$) of equation (1) for any fixed non-negative function $d(u) \neq$ const are presented in Table 1. Any other equation of the form (1) is reduced by an equivalence transformation from the group $E_{\rm eq}^{\rm BVP}$ to one of those given in Table 1.*

Remark 3 *In Table 1 the following designations of the Lie symmetry operators are used:*

$$\begin{array}{l} T = \partial_t,\ X_1 = \partial_{x_1},\ X_2 = \partial_{x_2},\ D = 2t\partial_t + x_a\partial_{x_a}, \\ J_{12} = x_1\partial_{x_2} - x_2\partial_{x_1},\ D_k = kt\partial_t - u\partial_u,\ D_e = t\partial_t - \partial_u \end{array} \tag{28}$$

while $A(x)$ and $B(x)$ (hereafter $x = (x_1, x_2)$) are an arbitrary solution of the Cauchy-Riemann system $A_{x_1} = B_{x_2}$, $A_{x_2} = -B_{x_1}$.

Table 1: Result of group classification of the class of PDEs (1)

Case	$d(u)$	Basic operators of MAI
1.	$\forall$	$AE(1,2) = \langle T,\, X_1,\, X_2,\, D,\, J_{12}\rangle$
2.	$u^k, k \neq 0, -1$	$AE(1,2), D_k$
3.	u^{-1}	$AE(1,2),$
		$A(x)\partial_{x_1} + B(x)\partial_{x_2} - 2A_{x_1}u\partial_u$
4.	e^u	$AE(1,2), D_e$

Now one needs to proceed to the final two steps of the group classification algorithm presented above. The result can be formulated in form of *the main theorem* (Theorem 2), which gives the complete list of the non-equivalent BVPs of the form (1)–(3) and the relevant MAIs.

Theorem 2 *All possible MAIs (up to equivalent transformations from the group $E_{\rm eq}^{\rm BVP}$) of the nonlinear BVP (1)–(3) for any fixed pair $(d(u), q(t))$, where $d(u) \neq$ const are presented in Table 2. Any other BVP of the form (1)–(3) is reduced by an equivalence transformation from the group $E_{\rm eq}^{\rm BVP}$ from Lemma 2 to one of those listed in Table 2.*

Table 2: Result of group classification of the class of BVPs (1)–(3)

Case	$d(u)$	$q(t)$	Basic operators of MAI	Relevant constraints
1.	$\forall$	$\forall$	X_1	
2.	$\forall$	$q_0 t^{-\frac{1}{2}}$	X_1, D	
3.	$\forall$	q_0	X_1, T	
4.	$\forall$	0	X_1, T, D	
5.	u^k	$q_0 t^p$	X_1, D_{kp}	$k \neq -2,\ p \neq 0$
6.	u^k	$q_0 e^{\pm t}$	X_1, $D_{\pm}$	$k \neq -2$
7.	u^k	q_0	X_1, T, D_{kp}	$p = 0$
8.	u^k	0	X_1, T, D, D_k	$k \neq -1$
9.	u^{-2}	$\forall$	X_1, $D_{\pm}$	$k = -2$
10.	u^{-2}	$q_0 t^{-\frac{1}{2}}$	X_1, D, D_k	$k = -2$
11.	u^{-1}	0	X_1, T, D, X^∞	$\mathcal{M}$
12.	e^u	$q_0 t^p$	X_1, D_p	$p \neq 0$
13.	e^u	$q_0 e^{\pm t}$	X_1, $D_{\pm e}$	
14.	e^u	q_0	X_1, T, D_p	$p = 0$
15.	e^u	0	X_1, T, D, D_e	

Remark 4 *In Table 2 the arbitrary constant $q_0 \neq 0$ and the following designations of the Lie symmetry operators are used:*

$$\begin{aligned} &D_{kp} = (k+2)t\partial_t + [k(p+1)+1]x_a\partial_{x_a} + (2p+1)u\partial_u,\\ &D_{\pm} = \pm(k+2)\partial_t + kx_a\partial_{x_a} + 2u\partial_u,\\ &D_p = t\partial_t - (p-1)x_a\partial_{x_a} - (2p-1)u\partial_u,\\ &D_{\pm e} = \pm\partial_t - x_a\partial_{x_a} - 2\partial_u. \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

In case 11, the coefficient $B(x)$ of the operator

$$X^\infty = A(x)\partial_{x_1} + B(x)\partial_{x_2} - 2A_{x_1}u\partial_u$$

must satisfy the set of conditions $\mathcal{M}$:

$$B(x_1, 0) = 0 \tag{30}$$

$$x_2 \to +\infty : \ \frac{B(x_1, x_2)}{x_2} \neq \infty, \quad \frac{\partial B(x_1, x_2)}{\partial x_2} \neq \infty. \tag{31}$$

Proof. The proof is based at Definition 2, Lemma 2 and Theorem 1. According to the algorithm described above (see steps (IV) and (V)), we need to examine the four different cases

listed in Table 1. First of all, we should consider case case 1 with the aim to find the principal algebra of invariance, i.e. the invariance algebra, admitting by each BVP of the form (1)–(3). Taking the most general form of the Lie symmetry in this case, one obtains

$$X = (\lambda_0 + 2\lambda_3 t)\partial_t + (\lambda_1 + \lambda_3 x_1 + \lambda_4 x_2)\partial_{x_1} + (\lambda_2 - \lambda_4 x_1 + \lambda_3 x_2)\partial_{x_2} \tag{32}$$

where $\lambda_0, \ldots, \lambda_4$ are arbitrary real constants. Applying item (a) of Definition 2 to the first part of the boundary condition (2), we immediately obtain

$$X(x_2)|_{x_2=0} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \lambda_2 = \lambda_4 = 0. \tag{33}$$

To finish application of item (a), we need the first prolongation of operator (32) with $\lambda_2 = \lambda_4 = 0$ because the boundary condition in question involves the derivative u_{x_2}. Hence, using the prolongation formulae (see, e.g., [29, 30]), we arrive at the expression

$$\underset{1}{X}\left(d(u)\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_2} - q(t)\right)\Big|_{\mathsf{M}} = -\lambda_3 q(t) - (\lambda_0 + 2\lambda_3 t)\dot{q}(t) = 0, \tag{34}$$

where $\underset{1}{X} = X - \lambda_3(2u_t\partial_{u_t} + u_{x_a}\partial_{u_{x_a}})$ and

$$\mathsf{M} = \{x_2 = 0,\ d(u)\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_2} = q(t)\}. \tag{35}$$

Obviously, the zero flux case $q(t) = 0$ does not produce any constraints, hence λ_0, λ_1 and λ_3 are arbitrary, i.e., the relevant BVP is invariant under a 3-dimensional MAI (see case 4 of Table 4). Rather simple analysis of the linear ODE $\lambda_3 q(t) + (\lambda_0 + 2\lambda_3 t)\dot{q}(t) = 0$ with non-zero $q(t)$ immediately leads to three different possibilities only:

i) if $q(t)$ is an arbitrary function then $\lambda_0 = \lambda_3 = 0$, i.e., $X = X_1$;

ii) if $q(t) = q_0/\sqrt{t + \lambda_0^*}$ with $\lambda_0^* = \lambda_0/(2\lambda_3)$ then $X = \lambda_0 T + \lambda_1 X_1 + \lambda_3 D$ (here λ_0 and $\lambda_3 \neq 0$ are no longer arbitrary);

iii) if $q(t) = q_0$, q_0 being a constant, then $\lambda_3 = 0$, i.e., $X = \lambda_0\partial_t + \lambda_2\partial_{x_2}$.

The function $q(t)$ and the operator X arising in item (ii) can be simplified using Lemma 2 (see transformation for t) as follows $q(t) \rightarrowtail q_0/\sqrt{t}$, $X \rightarrowtail \lambda_1 X_1 + D$. Now we need to find an appropriate transform of the form (12). Let us consider the transformation

$$\tau = t, \quad y_1 = x_1, \quad y_2 = x_2^{-\epsilon}, \epsilon > 0, \quad u = x_2 w, \tag{36}$$

which transforms the manifold

$$\mathsf{M} = \{x_2 \to +\infty,\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_2} = 0\} \tag{37}$$

into

$$\mathsf{M}^* = \{y_2 = 0,\ w = 0\}, \tag{38}$$

provided the function w is differentiable at $y_2 = 0$ (it should be noted that transforming only y (keeping the other variables the same) does not work in that sense).

Now one realizes that items (e)–(f) of Definition 2 are automatically fulfilled if $q(t)$ is an arbitrary function and $X = X_1$ (see item (i) above), hence we have found the principal algebra

of invariance of the BVPs class (1)–(3) and one is listed in the case 1 of Table. To examine the other two items one needs to express the relevant operators via the new variables. In particular, the operator $X = \lambda_1 X_1 + D$ takes the form

$$X^* = 2t\partial_t + (\lambda_1 + x_1)\partial_{x_1} - \epsilon y_2 \partial_{y_2} - w\partial_w. \tag{39}$$

Obviously items (e)–(f) of Definition 2 are automatically fulfilled for operator (39) provided the boundary condition is giving M^*, hence the case 2 of Table 2 is derived. Obviously, the third possibility for the function $q(t) = q_0$ leads to case 3 of Table 2. Thus, case 1 of Table 1 produces the principal algebra of invariance of the BVPs class (1)–(3) and three extensions depending on the function $q(t)$ (see cases 1–4 in Table 2).

Case 2 of Table 1 can be examined in quite a similar way as we have done above for case 1. Application of Definition 2 and Lemma 2 leads to the 6 different cases listed in Table 2 (see $5, \ldots, 10$). It should be stressed that the power $k = -2$ is a special one (but not one for Lie invariance of the governing PDE!) and leads to two additional cases 9-10 (see an analogous result for a (1+1)-dimensional BVP in [14, 25]).

The most complicated examination is in case 3 of Table 1 because one needs to analyse an infinite-dimensional Lie algebra. In this case, MAI of equation (1) is spanned by the following operators

$$T = \partial_t,\ X_a = \partial_{x_a},\ J = x_2\partial_{x_1} - x_1\partial_{x_2},\ D = 2t\partial_t + x_a\partial_{x_a},\ D_{-1} = t\partial_t + u\partial_u,$$
$$X^\infty = A(x_1, x_2)\partial_{x_1} + B(x_1, x_2)\partial_{x_2} - 2A_{x_1}u\partial_u.$$

Hence, the most general form of Lie symmetry operator is

$$\begin{array}{l} X = (\lambda_0 + (2\lambda_4 + \lambda_5)t)\partial_t + (\lambda_1 + \lambda_4 x_1 + \lambda_3 x_2 + \lambda_6 A(x_1, x_2))\partial_{x_1} \\ +(\lambda_2 - \lambda_3 x_1 + \lambda_4 x_2 + \lambda_6 B(x_1, x_2))\partial_{x_2} - (2\lambda_6 A_{x_1} - \lambda_5)u\partial_u, \end{array} \tag{40}$$

where $\lambda_0, \ldots, \lambda_6$ are arbitrary real constant.

Obviously, we should assume $\lambda_6 \neq 0$, otherwise particular cases of the results already derived for $d(u) = u^k$ will be obtained. First of all, we simplify operator (40) as follows. Because the functions $A(x_1, x_2)$ and $B(x_1, x_2)$ are harmonic, then we can construct the functions $\bar{A} = \lambda_1 + \lambda_3 x_2 + \lambda_6 A(x_1, x_2)$ and $\bar{B} = \lambda_2 - \lambda_3 x_1 + \lambda_6 B(x_1, x_2)$. It can be easily seen that the functions $\bar{A}$ and $\bar{B}$ are also harmonic. Thus, without losing generality, the operator X reduces to the form

$$X = (\lambda_0 + (2\lambda_4 + \lambda_5)t)\partial_t + (\bar{A}(x_1, x_2) + \lambda_4 x_1)\partial_{x_1} + (\bar{B}(x_1, x_2) + \lambda_4 x_2)\partial_{x_2} - (2\bar{A}_{x_1} - \lambda_5)u\partial_u. \tag{41}$$

Applying items (b)–(c) of Definition 2 to the boundary condition (2), we obtain

$$X(x_2)|_{x_2=0} = 0, \quad \underset{1}{X}\left(u^{-1}\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_2} - q(t)\right)\Big|_{\mathsf{M}} = 0, \tag{42}$$

where the first prolongation of the operator X has the form $\underset{1}{X} = X + \rho_0\partial_{u_t} + \rho_a\partial_{u_{x_a}}$ and M is defined in (35). We need to calculate only the coefficient ρ_2 because (42) does not involve any other derivatives. Since the known formulae mentioned above produce in the case of operator (41):

$$\rho_2 = -2\frac{\partial^2 \bar{B}}{\partial x_2^2}u + \Big(\lambda_4 + \lambda_5 - 3\frac{\partial \bar{B}}{\partial x_2}\Big)u_{x_2} - \frac{\partial \bar{A}}{\partial x_2}u_{x_1} \tag{43}$$

the invariance conditions (42) are simplified to the form

$$\bar{B}(x_1,0)=0,\quad \frac{\partial\bar{A}(x_1,0)}{\partial x_2}=0,\quad \frac{\partial^2\bar{B}(x_1,0)}{\partial x_2^2}=0 \tag{44}$$

provided $q(t)=0$ (we remind the reader that the functions $\bar{A}$ and $\bar{B}$ satisfy the Cauchy-Riemann system). Obviously, conditions (44) are equivalent to this (30) if one takes into account that the second and third equations in (44) are direct consequences of the first equation.

To finish examination of case 3 of Table 1 when the nonlinear BVP in question involves zero flux $q(t)=0$, one needs to check invariance of the boundary condition (3). Hence, using again transformation (36) and applying items (f) and (e) of Definition 2, one arrives at the restrictions

$$y_2=0:\ \bar{B}(x_1,y_2^{-1/\epsilon})y_2^{1+1/\epsilon}=0 \tag{45}$$

and

$$\Big(\Big(\bar{B}(x_1,y_2^{-1/\epsilon})y_2^{1/\epsilon}+2\frac{\partial\bar{A}(x_1,y_2^{-1/\epsilon})}{\partial x_1}\Big)w\Big)\Big|_{\mathsf{M}^*}=0. \tag{46}$$

Because transformation (36) is bijective and differentiable, formulae (45)–(46) are equivalent to (31). Hence, we have proved that BVP (1)–(3) with $d(u)=u^{-1}$ and $q(t)=0$ admits the operator (41) provided restrictions (30)–(31) are fulfilled. This immediately leads to the result presented in case 11 of Table 2 (the rotation operator J_{12} must be excluded because its coefficient $B=-x_1$ does not satisfy (30)).

The invariance conditions (42) lead to more complicated analysis if $q(t)\neq 0$. We omit here the relevant routine analysis and present the result only: the restrictions obtained on the functions $\bar{A}$ and $\bar{B}$ lead to the correctly specified functions $q(t)$ and MAIs listed in cases 5–7 with $k=-1$ of Table 2 only. Thus, examination of case 3 of Table 1 when $d(u)=u^{-1}$ is completed.

Finally, case 4 of Table 1 should be analysed. It turns out that the results obtained are very similar to case 2 of Table 1 when $k\neq -2$, therefore MAIs of the same dimensionality and the fluxes $q(t)$ of the same forms were derived (see cases 12–15 in Table 2).

The proof is now completed. ■

While restrictions (30)–(31) on the harmonic functions A and B are very strong, MAI of the problem in case 11 is still infinite-dimensional. Since the real and imaginary parts of the complex function z^{-n} with *arbitrary* $n=1,2,3,...$ generates the operator of the form X^∞, which is a symmetry of BVP (1)–(3) with $d(u)=u^{-1}$ and $q(t)=0$. Note that here we allow singular behaviour of X^∞ at the origin $(x_1,x_2)=(0,0)$.

Example 3. The complex function z^{-1} generates the operator

$$\frac{x_1}{x_1^2+x_2^2}\partial_{x_1}-\frac{x_2}{x_1^2+x_2^2}\partial_{x_2}+2\frac{x_1^2-x_2^2}{(x_1^2+x_2^2)^2}u\partial_u \tag{47}$$

Applying items (b) and (c) of Definition 2, one obtains (42) and (43) with $\lambda_4=\lambda_5=0$ and $\bar{A}=\frac{x_1}{x_1^2+x_2^2}$, $\bar{B}=-\frac{x_2}{x_1^2+x_2^2}$. Now one easily checks that the invariance conditions are satisfied (42) because the given functions $\bar{A}$ and $\bar{B}$ fulfil conditions (44).

Let us consider the transformation (36). As indicated above, one transforms the manifold (37) into (38). Simultaneously operator (47) takes the form

$$\frac{y_1}{|y|_\epsilon^2}\partial_{y_1}+\frac{1}{|y|_\epsilon^2}(\epsilon y_2\partial_{y_2}+w\partial_w)+2\frac{y_1^2-y_2^{-2/\epsilon}}{|y|_\epsilon^4}w\partial_w, \tag{48}$$

where $|y|_\epsilon^2 = y_1^2 + y_2^{-2/\epsilon}$.

After directly checking items (d)–(f) of Definition 2 in the case of manifold (38) and operator (48), one concludes that (47) is a Lie symmetry operator of BVP (1)–(3) with $d(u) = u^{-1}$ and $q(t) = 0$.

We conclude this section by presenting the following observation. Let as replace the last condition in BVP (1)–(3) by

$$x_2 \to +\infty : d(u)\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_2} = 0 \tag{49}$$

which is more usually adopted in applications. It can be easily checked by direct calculations (each Lie symmetry operator generates the corresponding Lie group of transformations) that the results presented in Table 2 are still valid for BVPs of the form (1), (2) and (49). Moreover, the assumption $d(u) \neq 0$ for $x_2 \to +\infty$ is not important (though it is of course significant with respect to BVP theory). However, one should ideally show that there are no cases other than those presented in Table 2. Unfortunately, this is a non-trivial task, in particular, transformation (36) does not work in all cases as above. For example, to examine case of the power-law diffusivity $d(u) = u^k,\ k \neq -1$ one could use the transformation

$$\tau = t, \quad y_1 = x_1, \quad y_2 = x_2^{-\epsilon}, \epsilon > 0, \quad u = x_2^{\frac{1}{k+1}} w,$$

which transforms M into

$$\mathsf{M}^* = \{y_2 = 0,\ w^{k+1} = 0\}.$$

4 Lie symmetry reduction of some BVPs of the form (1)–(3)

First of all, it should be noted that each BVP of the form (1)–(3) reduces to a (1+1)-dimensional problem using the operator $X_1 = \partial_{x_1}$. However, the problem obtained is simply the corresponding (1+1)-dimensional one, with no dependance on x_1; hence we do not consider such a reduction below.

Another special case arises for each BVP (1)–(3) with $q(t) = q_0$ (case 3 of Table 2) when the problem reduces to the stationary one using the operator $T = \partial_t$:

$$\nabla.\,(d(U)\nabla U) = 0, \tag{50}$$

$$x_2 = 0 : d(U)\frac{\partial U}{\partial x_2} = q_0, \tag{51}$$

$$x_2 \to +\infty : \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_2} = 0, \tag{52}$$

where $U(x_1, x_2)$ is an unknown function. BVP (50)–(52) is linearisable via the Kirchhoff substitution $W = \int d(U)dU$ and the linear problem obtained can be treated by the classical methods for solving linear problems for the Laplace equation.

A brief analysis of Table 2 shows that seven cases when the relevant problems are invariant under MAI of dimensionality three and higher are the most interesting because a few different reductions to BVPs of lower dimensionality can be obtained. Obviously the most complicated case occurs for the critical exponent $k = -1$ (see case 11) and we are going to treat in detail this one elsewhere. On the other hand, cases 7 and 8 seem to be the most interesting because the

power diffusivity u^k is very common in applications and describe a wide range of phenomena depending on the value of k.

Let us consider case 7. Because the operator D_{kp} with $p = 0$ has the form

$$D_{k0} = (k+2)t\partial_t + (k+1)x_a\partial_{x_a} + u\partial_u \tag{53}$$

one needs to consider three different cases

i) $k \neq -1,\ -2$;

ii) $k = -2$;

iii) $k = -1$.

In the first case, the Lie algebra $\langle X_1,\, T,\, D_{k0}\rangle$ leads only to two essentially different reductions, via the operators $T + vX_1,\ v \in \mathbb{R}$ and D_{k0}. Obviously the operator $T + vX_1,\ v \in \mathbb{R}$ generates the travelling-wave ansatz

$$u = \ \phi(y, x_2), \quad y = x_1 - vt \tag{54}$$

which reduces the nonlinear BVP

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \nabla.\left(u^k \nabla u\right), \quad (x_1, x_2) \in \Omega,\, t \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{55}$$

$$x_2 = 0 : u^k \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_2} = q_0, \tag{56}$$

$$x_2 \to +\infty : \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_2} = 0, \tag{57}$$

to the (1+1)-dimensional elliptic problem

$$-v\phi_y = (\phi^k \phi_y)_y + (\phi^k \phi_{x_2})_{x_2} \tag{58}$$

$$x_2 = 0 : \phi^k \phi_{x_2} = q_0, \tag{59}$$

$$x_2 \to +\infty : \phi_{x_2} = 0, \tag{60}$$

where ϕ is an unknown function (hereafter subscripts on ϕ denote differentiation w.r.t. the relevant variables). Like other cases described below, the relevance of the function ϕ to a specific BVP will depend on the behaviour at infinity of the initial data and we shall make no attempt to explore such matters here in detail.

The operator D_{k0} generates a more complicated ansatz

$$u = \ t^{\frac{1}{k+2}}\phi(\omega_1, \omega_2), \quad \omega_a = x_a t^{-\gamma},\ \gamma = \frac{k+1}{k+2}. \tag{61}$$

After substituting ansatz (61) into BVP (55)–(57), direct calculations show that one obtains the (1+1)-dimensional elliptic problem

$$\frac{1}{k+2}\phi - \gamma\omega_a\phi_{\omega_a} = (\phi^k \phi_{\omega_a})_{\omega_a} \tag{62}$$

$$\omega_2 = 0 : \phi^k \phi_{\omega_2} = q_0, \tag{63}$$

$$\omega_2 \to +\infty : \phi_{\omega_2} = 0 \tag{64}$$

(hereafter summation is assumed over the repeated index $a = 1, 2$).

In case *(ii)*, the Lie algebra $\langle X_1,\, T,\, -x_a\partial_{x_a} + u\partial_u\rangle$ leads to three essential different reductions, via the operators $T + vX_1,\ v \in \mathbb{R},\ \frac{1}{\lambda}T - x_a\partial_{x_a} + u\partial_u,\ \lambda \neq 0$ and $-x_a\partial_{x_a} + u\partial_u$. Obviously the operator $T + vX_1,\ v \in \mathbb{R}$ leads to the same ansatz as in case *(i)*, hence BVP (58)–(60) with $k = -2$ is obtained.

The operator $\frac{1}{\lambda}T - x_a\partial_{x_a} + u\partial_u$ generates a new ansatz of the form

$$u = \ e^{\lambda t}\phi(\omega_1, \omega_2), \quad \omega_a = x_a e^{\lambda t},\ \lambda \neq 0, \tag{65}$$

which reduces BVP (55)–(57) with $k = -2$ to the (1+1)-dimensional problem

$$\lambda\phi + \lambda\omega_a\phi_{\omega_a} = (\phi^{-2}\phi_{\omega_a})_{\omega_a} \tag{66}$$

$$\omega_2 = 0 : \phi^{-2}\phi_{\omega_2} = q_0, \tag{67}$$

$$\omega_2 \to +\infty : \phi_{\omega_2} = 0. \tag{68}$$

As is well-known, the singular nature of the diffusivity in (66) as $\phi \to 0$ prevents immediate physical interpretation of such reductions, but it is worth noting that the reduction (65) applies for a continuum of values of the similarity exponent λ. The one-dimensional case is instructive here, yielding the equation

$$q_0 + \lambda\omega_2\phi = \phi^{-2}\phi_{\omega_2}. \tag{69}$$

This ODE is easely solved by setting $\psi = \omega_2\phi$ and its general solution can be presented in the implicit form (for $q_0 = 0$ and $\lambda = \frac{q_0^2}{4}$ the solutions are obvious)

$$C = \frac{\phi}{\sqrt{\lambda(\omega_2\phi)^2 + q_0\omega_2\phi + 1}}\left(\frac{q_\lambda + q_0 + 2\lambda\omega_2\phi}{q_\lambda - q_0 - 2\lambda\omega_2\phi}\right)^{\frac{q_0}{2q_\lambda}}, \tag{70}$$

where C is an arbitrary non-zero constant and $q_\lambda \equiv \sqrt{q_0^2 - 4\lambda}$. Note that we need $\lambda < q_0^2/4$ in order to obtain a real solution. Because solution (70) should satisfy also the condition at infinity (68) we need to analyse it as $\omega_2 \to +\infty$. Indeed, it can be noted that

$$\phi \sim \frac{\phi_\infty}{\omega_2}, \quad \omega_2 \to +\infty, \tag{71}$$

where ϕ_∞ is a solution of the quadratic equation

$$\lambda\phi_\infty^2 + q_0\phi_\infty + 1 = 0 \tag{72}$$

(there are two roots and which should be used depends on sign of q_0). Thus, we conclude that

$$u \sim \frac{\phi_\infty}{x_2}, \quad x_2 \to +\infty, t = 0 \tag{73}$$

whereby the similarity exponent $\lambda \leq q_0^2/4$ is determined in terms of this initial data via (72).

The operator $-x_a\partial_{x_a} + u\partial_u$ generates the ansatz

$$u = \ x_1^{-1}\phi(t, z), \quad z = \frac{x_2}{x_1}, \tag{74}$$

reducing the (1+2)-dimensional BVP in question to the (1+1)-dimensional parabolic problem

$$\phi_t = (\phi^{-2}\phi_z)_z + z\Big(\phi^{-1} + z\phi^{-2}\phi_z\Big)_z \tag{75}$$

$$z = 0 : \phi^{-2}\phi_z = q_0, \tag{76}$$

$$z \to +\infty : \phi_z = 0. \tag{77}$$

Remark 5 *The reduced BVP (75)–(77) was derived under assumption $x_1 > 0$. In the case $x_1 < 0$, the same problem is obtained but $z \to +\infty$ should be replaced by $z \to -\infty$.*

Finally, we examine case *(iii)*, in which the Lie algebra $\langle X_1,\, T,\, t\partial_t + u\partial_u\rangle$ arises. There are only two essentially different reductions, via the operators $T + vX_1$ and $\lambda X_1 + t\partial_t + u\partial_u$ where $(v, \lambda) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. The first one again leads to a particular case of BVP (58)–(60) with $k = -1$, while the second generates a new (1+1)-dimensional elliptic problem of the form

$$\phi - \lambda\phi_w = (\phi^{-1}\phi_w)_w + (\phi^{-1}\phi_{x_2})_{x_2} \tag{78}$$

$$x_2 = 0 : \phi^{-1}\phi_{x_2} = q_0, \tag{79}$$

$$x_2 \to +\infty : \phi_{x_2} = 0, \tag{80}$$

where

$$u = \ t\phi(w, x_2), \quad w = x_1 - \lambda \log t. \tag{81}$$

It should be noted that BVP (78)–(80) with $\lambda = 0$ is equivalent to the problem (provided $\phi = e^{\psi} \geq 0$)

$$e^{\psi} = \Delta\psi \tag{82}$$

$$x_2 = 0 : \psi_{x_2} = q_0, \tag{83}$$

$$x_2 \to +\infty : \psi_{x_2} = 0, \tag{84}$$

where (82) is known Liouville's equation, which has been widely studied for many years (see, e.g. the books [18, 22]) and its general solution (for $n = 2$) is well-known.

Now we make the following observation: while BVP in question is a parabolic problem, all the (1+1)-dimensional BVPs obtained (excepting (75)–(77)) are elliptic. Each of the (1+1)-dimensional BVPs derived above can be further analysed by symmetry based, asymptotical and numerical methods, and we shall investigate such matters in a forthcoming paper. Here we present an interesting example only.

Example 4. It is appropriate to touch on the large-time behaviour of BVP (75)-(77). This is best done in polar coordinate. From the symmetry point of view, it means that one uses the ansatz

$$u = \ r^{-1}v(t, \theta), \quad \theta = \arctan\frac{x_2}{x_1},\ r^2 = x_1^2 + x_2^2, \tag{85}$$

which is equivalent to (74). As result the reduced BVP takes form

$$v_t = (v^{-2}v_\theta)_\theta - v^{-1} \tag{86}$$

$$z = 0 : v^{-2}v_\theta = q_0, \tag{87}$$

$$\theta \to \frac{\pi^-}{2} : \frac{\cos\theta v_\theta}{v} \to 1. \tag{88}$$

Note that the conditions $\theta \to \frac{\pi^-}{2} : v = 0,\ \cos\theta v_\theta \to 0$, which formally can be also used instead of (88), are inappropriate because (86) has no smooth solutions satisfying $v = 0$ at any finite θ.

The boundary condition (88) implies that $v \to +\infty$ as $\theta \to \frac{\pi^-}{2}$ (this allows $d(u) \equiv u^{-2} = 0$ when $x_2 \to +\infty$); this and (87) immediately lead to the conservation law

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} v\cos\theta d\theta = -q_0, \tag{89}$$

where $v(t,\theta)\cos\theta = \phi(t,\tan\theta)$ (see (74)). Then the following three-layer structure is a plausible description of the behaviour of v as $t \to +\infty$.

(A) Outer region $\theta = O(1)$. Here $v \sim \sqrt{t}\Psi(\theta)$, which reduces (86) to

$$\frac{1}{2}\Psi = (\Psi^{-2}\Psi_\theta)_\theta - \Psi^{-1},$$

hence one obtains

$$\Psi \sim \frac{1}{\theta\sqrt{-\log\theta}} \quad as \quad \theta \to 0^+, \quad \Psi \sim \frac{1}{(\frac{\pi}{2}-\theta)\sqrt{-\log(\frac{\pi}{2}-\theta)}} \quad as \quad \theta \to \frac{\pi^-}{2}.$$

(B) Transition region $\Theta = O(1)$, where $-\lambda < \Theta < 0$. Here we set $v = \theta^{-1}\sigma(t,\zeta)$, $\zeta = \log\theta$ in order to obtain from (86) the equation (note the term $v^{-1} = \theta\sigma^{-1}$ is negligible under these scalings)

$$\sigma_t = (\sigma^{-2}\sigma_\zeta)_\zeta + \sigma^{-2}\sigma_\zeta. \tag{90}$$

Whereby the middle term in (90) is negligible (for large time) and $\sigma \sim \Sigma(\Theta)$, $\Theta = \zeta t^{-1}$, one obtains the equation

$$-\Theta\Sigma_\Theta = \Sigma^{-2}\Sigma_\Theta$$

with the solution $\Sigma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\Theta}}$. The region dominates the integral in (89), whereby

$$\int_{-\lambda}^{0} \frac{d\Theta}{\sqrt{-\Theta}} = -q_0$$

so that λ (which plays a crucial role in the inner region) is given by $\lambda = \frac{q_0^2}{4}$, a conclusion that also follows by other arguments.

(C) Inner region $y \equiv e^{\lambda t}\theta = O(1)$. Here we introduce the variable

$$v \sim e^{\lambda t}V(y)$$

(the large-time solution behaviour may in fact also involve algebraic dependence on t, such refinements are of little importance here). Using these variables and neglecting the final term in (86), one obtains the equation

$$(V^{-2}V_y)_y = \lambda V + \lambda y V_y,$$

which is equivalent to the first order ODE

$$V^{-2}V_y = \lambda y V + q_0, \tag{91}$$

if one takes into account (87). Now we note that ODE (91) coincides with (69), which was analysed above. In particular, the exponent $\lambda = \frac{q_0^2}{4}$ is associated to a repeated-root condition (see (72)). Thus, we obtain

$$V \sim \frac{2}{-q_0 y}, \quad as \quad y \to +\infty, \tag{92}$$

which matches with the region *(B)* and provides an alternative route to the derivation of the value of the similarity exponent λ.

5 Conditional symmetry classification of the BVPs class (1)–(3)

Q-conditional (nonclassical) symmetries of the class of (1+2)-dimensional heat equations (1) were described in paper [2]. In contrast to the (1+1)-dimensional case, the result is very simple: in the case of the Q-conditional symmetry operator (6) with $\xi^0(t,x) \neq 0$, there is only a unique nonlinear equation from this class admitting a conditional symmetry. Any other nonlinear heat equation admits conditional symmetry operators of the form (6), which are equivalent to the relevant Lie symmetry operators. In the case of Q-conditional symmetry operator (6) with $\xi^0(t,x) = 0$, the system of determining equations is analysed in [2](see the system (3.30) therein) and their conclusion is as follows: each known solution of the system leads again to a Lie symmetry and they were not able to construct any other solution.

Let us consider the equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \nabla.\left(u^{-1/2}\nabla u\right) \tag{93}$$

ant its conditional symmetry

$$Q = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + 2h(x_1,x_2)\sqrt{u}\frac{\partial}{\partial u}, \tag{94}$$

where the function h is an arbitrary solution of the nonlinear equation $\Delta h = h^2$ (in [2] these formulae have a slightly different form because in the very beginning the authors applied the Kirchhoff transformation to (1)). Now we examine BVP (93), (2) with $d(u) = u^{-1/2}$ and (3) using Definition 2. Obviously items (a) and (b) are automatically fulfilled. To fulfill item (c) one needs the first prolongation of operator (94)

$$\underset{1}{Q} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + 2h\sqrt{u}\frac{\partial}{\partial u} + \left(2h_{x_2}\sqrt{u} + hu^{-1/2}u_{x_2}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{x_2}}. \tag{95}$$

Applying this operator to the boundary condition (2) with $d(u) = u^{-1/2}$, we arrive at the equation

$$x_2 = 0 : \; q'(t) = 2h_{x_2}, \tag{96}$$

what immediately gives

$$q(t) = q_0 + 2q_1 t, \quad h_{x_2}(x_1,0) = q_1 \tag{97}$$

where q_0 and q_1 are arbitrary constants. Finally, we can use again transformation (36) for examination of items (d)-(f) and direct checking shows that a sufficient condition is that the function h be bounded as $x_2 \to \infty$.

Thus, BVP (93), (2) with $d(u) = u^{-1/2}$ and (3) is Q-conditionally invariant only in the case of linear flux $q(t)$ (see (97)) and the relevant conditional symmetry operator possesses the form (94) where the function h solves the initial problem

$$\Delta h = h^2, \quad h_{x_2}(x_1,0) = q_1. \tag{98}$$

Remark 6 *Because each conditional symmetry operator (6) multiplied by an arbitrary smooth function M is again a conditional symmetry, we have examined also the operator $M(t,x_1,x_2,u)Q$ and shown that no further results are obtained.*

Now we apply the Q-conditional symmetry (94) in reducing the nonlinear BVP with the governing equation (93) and conditions

$$x_2 = 0 : u^{-1/2}u_{x_2} = q_0 + 2q_1 t, \tag{99}$$

$$x_2 \to +\infty : u_{x_2} = 0. \tag{100}$$

Operator (94) produces the ansatz

$$u = (t\phi(x_1, x_2) + h(x_1, x_2))^2, \tag{101}$$

where $\phi(x_1, x_2)$ is new unknown function. It can be noted that ansatz (101) was proposed (and applied for finding exact solutions) in [24] without knowledge of symmetry (94). Substituting (101) into BVP (93), (99) – (100) and taking into account (98), we arrive at two-dimensional problem for the nonlinear system of two elliptic equations:

$$\Delta\phi = \phi h, \quad \Delta h = h^2, \tag{102}$$

$$x_2 = 0 : \phi_{x_2} = q_0, \quad h_{x_2} = q_1, \tag{103}$$

$$x_2 \to +\infty : \phi_{x_2} = 0, \quad h_{x_2} = 0. \tag{104}$$

6 Some remarks about the domain geometry

A natural question arises: how do Lie and conditional invariance of BVPs depend on geometry of the domain Ω? Obviously the problem essentially depends on the space dimensionality. For example, there are only three essentially different cases for BVPs with the (1+1)-dimensional evolution equations, namely: Ω is a finite interval, a semi-infinite interval and $\Omega = \mathbb{R}$. Here we treated (1+2)-dimensional BVPs with $\Omega = \{(x_1, x_2) : -\infty < x_1 < +\infty,\, x_2 > 0\}$. In the general case, the domain can be any open subset $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with a smooth boundary, i.e. one is formed by differentiable (excepting possibly a finite number of points) curves. However, if one fixes a governing equation then the geometrical structure of Ω may be predicted in advance if one is looking for Lie and conditional invariance of the relevant BVP. In the case of the governing equation (1), all possible Lie symmetries are presented in Table 1. Let us skip the critical case 3 because this involves an infinite-dimensional algebra. The projection of all MAIs arising in cases 1,2 and 4 on the (x_1, x_2)-space gives the Lie algebra with basic operators

$$X_1 = \partial_{x_1},\, X_2 = \partial_{x_2},\, J_{12} = x_1\partial_{x_2} - x_2\partial_{x_1},\, D_{12} = x_1\partial_{x_1} + x_2\partial_{x_2}, \tag{105}$$

which is nothing else but the Euclidean algebra $AE(2)$ extended by the operator of scale transformations. Now we realize that a non-trivial result can be obtained provided Ω is invariant under transformations generated by this algebra. For example, the case addressed above, namely $\Omega = \{(x_1, x_2) : -\infty < x_1 < +\infty,\, x_2 > 0\}$, is invariant under x_1-translations and scale transformations generated by D_{12}; however, to note a simple such example, any triangle in the (x_1, x_2)-space does not admit any transformations generated by (105). Of course, the domain $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^2$ is invariant under the extended Euclidean algebra (105); however, this domain is appropriate to initial value problems only (an interesting symmetry-based approach for solving such problems was proposed in [21]) while any boundary-value problem implies $\Omega \neq \mathbb{R}^2$.

It turns out that all the domains admitting at least one-dimensional algebra can be described using the well-known results of classification of inequivalent (non-conjugate) subalgebras for the extended Euclidean algebra, which are presented, for example, in [19]. The corresponding list of subalgebras can be divided on subalgebras of different dimensionality. We present only those of dimensionality one and two because subalgebras of higher dimensionality immediately lead to $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^2$.

The one-dimensional subalgebras are

$$\langle X_1 \rangle,\ \langle J_{12} \rangle,\ \langle D_{12} \rangle,\ \langle J_{12} + \beta D_{12} \rangle\, (\beta > 0),$$

and the two-dimensional ones are

$$\langle X_1, X_2\rangle\,,\ \langle X_1, D_{12}\rangle\,,\ \langle J_{12}, D_{12}\rangle\,.$$

Obviously, absolute invariants of each algebra can be easily calculated in explicit form (see, e.g., the relevant theory in [29]), hence, we need only to provide a geometrical interpretation for each algebra. In the case of the algebra $\langle X_1\rangle$, the absolute invariant is x_2, hence the domain Ω can be created by lines of the form $x_2 = const$. It means that there are only two generic domains, the strip $\Omega_1 = \{(x_1, x_2) : -\infty < x_1 < +\infty,\, C_1 < x_2 < C_2\}$ and the half-plane $\Omega_2 = \{(x_1, x_2) : -\infty < x_1 < +\infty,\, x_2 > C_2\}$ (hereafter C_1 and C_2 are arbitrary consts). Any other domain admitting the x_1-translations can be obtained via a combination of Ω_1 and Ω_2.

In the case of the algebra $\langle J_{12}\rangle$, the absolute invariant is $x_1^2 + x_2^2$, hence the domain Ω can be created by circles of the form $x_1^2 + x_2^2 = const$. This means that there are only three generic domains, the interior of the circle $\Omega_1 = \{(x_1, x_2) : x_1^2 + x_2^2 < C_2\}$, the exterior of the circle $\Omega_2 = \{(x_1, x_2) : x_1^2 + x_2^2 > C_1 > 0\}$ and the annulus $\Omega_3 = \{(x_1, x_2) : 0 < C_1 < x_1^2 + x_2^2 < C_2\}$.

In the case of the algebra $\langle D_{12}\rangle$, the absolute invariant is $\frac{x_1}{x_2}$, hence the domain Ω can be created by lines of the form $x_1 = const\ x_2$ and $x_2 = 0$. It means that there are only two generic domains, the wedge $\Omega_1 = \{(x_1, x_2) : C_1 x_2 < x_1 < C_2 x_2\}$ and the half-plane $\Omega_2 = \{(x_1, x_2) : -\infty < x_1 < +\infty,\, x_2 > 0\}$.

Finally, in the case of the one-dimensional algebra $\langle J_{12} + \beta D_{12}\rangle$, the absolute invariant is $\sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2}\exp\left(-\beta \arctan\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right)$, hence the domain Ω can be created by the curves $\sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2} = const \exp\left(\beta \arctan\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right)$. In the polar coordinates (r, θ) such curves are the logarithmic spirals $r = const \exp(\beta\theta)$, and one obtains only the generic domain $\Omega = \{(r, \theta) : C_1 \exp(\beta\theta) < r < C_2 \exp(\beta\theta)\}$ $(0 < C_1 < C_2)$, which is the space between two spirals.

Examination of two-dimensional subalgebras listed above do not lead to any new domains. In fact, the first and the third produce $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^2$ while the second leads only to the half-space $\Omega = \{(x_1, x_2) : -\infty < x_1 < +\infty,\, x_2 > 0\}$, which is a particular case of the domain Ω_2 obtained above for the algebra $\langle X_1\rangle$.

The above considerations provide a symmetry based motivation for investigating half-space problems, as we have done above. The other domains just recorded should be taken into account for further application of the technique established above.

7 Conclusions

In this paper, a new definition (see Definition 2) of conditional invariance for BVPs is proposed. It is shown that Bluman's definition [3,4] for Lie invariance of BVPs, which is widely used to find Lie symmetries of BVPs with standard boundary conditions, follows as a natural particular case from Definition 2. Simple examples of direct applicability of the definition to nonlinear (1+1)-dimensional BVPs, leading to both known and new results, are demonstrated.

The main result of the paper consists in the successful application of the definition for Lie and conditional symmetry classification of BVPs of the form (1)–(3). It turns out that a wide range of possibilities arises for BVPs with the governing (1+2)-dimensional nonlinear heat equation if one looks for Lie symmetries. Depending on the form of the pair $(d(u); q(t))$ there are 15 different cases (see Table 2) in contrast to the 4 different cases only that arise for the governing equation (1). In particular, we have proved that there is a special exponent, $k = -2$, for the power diffusivity u^k when BVP with non-vanishing flux on the boundary admits additional Lie symmetry operators compared to the case $k \neq -2$ (see cases 9 and 10 in Table 2). It should

be stressed that the power $k = -2$ is not a special case for the governing equation (1) with $d(u) = u^k$ in two space dimensions, though in some respects this result reflects its exceptional status in one dimension. It is worth noting that the well-known critical power $k = -1$, leading to an infinite-dimensional invariance algebra of the (1+2)-dimensional nonlinear heat equation, preserves its special status only in the case of zero flux on the boundary (see case 11 in Table 2 and Remark 4).

In the case of conditional symmetry classification of the BVPs class (1)–(3), our result is modest because the governing equation (1) admits a Q-conditional symmetry only for the diffusivity $d(u) = u^{-1/2}$ [2]. Hence we have examined BVP (1)–(3) with $d(u) = u^{-1/2}$ only and proved that this problem is conditionally invariant under operator (94) provided restrictions (97) hold.

In order to demonstrate the applicability of the symmetries derived, we used those for reducing the nonlinear BVP (1)–(3) with power diffusivity u^k and a constant non-zero flux (such problems are common in applications and describe a wide range of phenomena depending on values of k). One motivation was to investigate the structure of the (1+1)-dimensional problems obtained. It turns out that all the reduced problems (excepting problem (75)–(77)) are elliptic ones. Some of them are well-known (see (82)–(84)), while others seem to be new and will be treated in a forthcoming paper.

Finally, we have described a brief analysis of a problem of independent interest, which follows in a natural way from the theoretical considerations presented in Section 2. The problem can be formulated as follows: how do Lie and conditional invariance of BVP depend on geometry of the domain, in which the given BVP is defined? We have solved this problem for BVPs with the governing equation (1) and obtained an exhaustive list of possible domains preserving at least a one-dimensional subalgebra of MAI of equation (1). It turns out that the geometrical interpretation of the domains obtained is rather simple. However, we foresee much more difficulties for BVPs in this regard with the governing equations in spaces of higher dimensionality.

8 Acknowledgements

This research was supported by a Marie Curie International Incoming Fellowship to the first author within the 7th European Community Framework Programme.

References

[1] Akhatov IS, Gazizov RK and Ibragimov NH 1991 Nonlocal symmetries. Heuristic approach *J. Sov. Math.* **55** 1401-1450

[2] Arrigo DJ, Goard J and Broadbridge P 1996 Nonclassical solutions are non-existent for the heat equation and rare for nonlinear diffusion, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **202** 259–279.

[3] Bluman G W 1974 Application of the general similarity solution of the heat equation to boundary value problems *Q. Appl. Math.* **31** 403–415

[4] Bluman G W and Anco S C 2002 *Symmetry and Integration Methods for Differential Equations* (New York: Springer)

[5] Bluman G W, Cheviakov A F and Anco S C 2010 *Applications of Symmetry Methods to Partial Differential Equations* (New York: Springer)

[6] Bluman G W and Kumei S 1989 *Symmetries and Differential Equations* (Berlin: Springer)

[7] Cherniha R 2010 Conditional symmetries for systems of PDEs: new definition and its application for reaction-diffusion systems *J. Phys. A: Math. and Theor.* **43** 405207 (13pp)

[8] Cherniha R 2013 Conditional symmetries for boundary value problems: new definition and its application for nonlinear problems *Miskolc Math. Notes* **14** 637-646

[9] Cherniha R and Davydovych V 2013 Lie and conditional symmetries of the three-component diffusive Lotka–Volterra system *J. Phys. A* **46** 185204 (14pp).

[10] Cherniha R and King J R 2003 Lie Symmetries of Nonlinear Multidimensional Reaction-Diffusion Systems: II *J. Phys. A* **36** 405–425

[11] Cherniha R and King J R 2005 Nonlinear Reaction-Diffusion Systems with Variable Diffusivities: Lie Symmetries, Ansätze and Exact Solutions *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **308** 11–35

[12] Cherniha R and Kovalenko S 2011 Lie symmetries and reductions of multi-dimensional boundary value problems of the Stefan type *J. Phys. A* **44** 485202 (25 pp.).

[13] Cherniha R and Kovalenko S 2011 Lie symmetry of a class of nonlinear boundary value problems with free boundaries *Banach Center Publ.* **93** 95–104.

[14] Cherniha R and Kovalenko S 2012 Lie symmetries of nonlinear boundary value problems *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simulat.* **17** 71–84.

[15] Cherniha R and Pliukhin O 2007 New conditional symmetries and exact solutions of nonlinear reaction–diffusion–convection equations *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **40** 10049–10070.

[16] Cherniha R, Serov M and Rassokha I 2008 Lie symmetries and form-preserving transformations of reaction-diffusion-convection equations *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **342** 1363–1379

[17] Dorodnitsyn VA Knyazeva IV and Svirshchevskii SR 1983 Group properties of the nonlinear heat equation with source in the two- and three-dimensional cases *Differential'niye Uravneniya* **19** 1215–1223 (in Russian).

[18] Dubrovin BA, Novikov SP and Fomenko AT 1992 *Modern Geometry: Methods and Applications. Part I. The Geometry of Surfaces, Transformation Groups, and Fields* (Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer)

[19] Fushchych W I , Barannyk L F and Barannyk A F 1991 *Subgroup Analysis of the Galilei and Poincare Groups and Reduction of Nonlinear Equations* (Kyiv: Naukova Dumka) (in Russian)

[20] Fushchych W I, Shtelen W M and Serov M I 1993 *Symmetry Analysis and Exact Solutions of Equations of Nonlinear Mathematical Physics* (Dordrecht: Kluwer)

[21] Goard J 2008 Finding symmetries by incorporating initial and side conditions *Euro. J. of Appl. Math.* **19** 701-715.

[22] Henrici P 1993 *Applied and Computational Complex Analysis. Vol. 3* (New York - Chichester - Brisbane - Toronto - Singapore: John Wiley and Sons)

[23] King JR 1991 Exact results for the nonlinear diffusion equations $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(u^{-\frac{4}{3}}\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right)$ and $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(u^{-\frac{2}{3}}\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right)$ *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **24** 5721–5745.

[24] King JR 1992 Some non-self-similar solutions to a nonlinear diffusion equation *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **25** 4861–4868.

[25] Kovalenko S Group theoretic analysis of a class of boundary value problems for a nonlinear heat equation arXiv:1202.0705 (2012).

[26] Ibragimov N K 1992 Group analysis of ordinary differential equations and the invariance principle in mathematical physics (on the occasion of the 150th anniversary of the birth of Sophus Lie) *Russ. Math. Surv.* **47** 89–156

[27] Ibragimov N K 2011 Lie group analysis of Moffat's model in metallurgical industry, *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.* **18** Suppl. 1 143–162.

[28] Nariboli GA 1970 Self-similar solutions of some nonlinear equations *Appl. Scientific Res.* **22** 449–461.

[29] Olver P J 1993 *Applications of Lie Groups to Differential Equations* (New York: Springer)

[30] Ovsiannikov L V 1982 *The Group Analysis of Differential Equations* (New York: Academic)

[31] Vaneeva OO, Johnpillai AG, Popovych RO and Sophocleous C 2007 Enhanced group analysis and conservation laws of variable coefficient reaction–diffusion equations with power nonlinearities *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **330** 1363–1386